Salmon Stream Temperature Monitoring In the Chilkat & Chilkoot Watersheds

Summary Report – December 2021

Prepared by the Takshanuk Watershed Council
Stacie Evans, Science Director stacie@takshanuk.org
Derek Poinsette, Executive Director derek@takshanuk.org

This report provides an update in progress and summary statistics for water temperature sites monitored by Takshanuk Watershed Council. Monitoring at these sites is part of an ongoing collaboration with the Southeast Alaska Freshwater Monitoring Network. Funding was provided by Chilkat Indian Village, National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, US Fish and Wildlife Service, and Patagonia.

Contents

Background

The Chilkat and Chilkoot watersheds are two of the most productive salmon systems on the west coast of North America. They host significant wild runs of all five species of Pacific salmon, as well as steelhead, Dolly Varden, coastal cutthoat trout, and eulachon. This abundance has supported the Jilk̲áat K̲wáan and Jilk̲oot K̲wáan since time immemorial, and continues to support them today. In addition, the commercial fishing industry accounts for 15% of local incomes and virtually all residents benefit from subsistence and traditional hunting, fishing, and gathering (adfg.alaska.gov). Beyond economic benefits, the practice of subsistence food collection and preservation promotes family ties, community resiliency, and passes on tradition and cultural identity to future generations. Although local freshwater habitats are relatively intact, they are nonetheless facing a significant near-term threat: the unpredictable effects of climate change.

The climate is changing rapidly in the North. Over the next 50 years, Southeast Alaska can expect to see an increase in mean annual air temperature of 2 to 4 °C, as well as drier summers and wetter autumns (Schoen et al. 2017). These factors, along with watershed characteristics and stream morphology, drive the potential for widespread and rapid changes in stream temperature and the local aquatic environment (Kovach et al. 2015, Shanley et al. 2015). The region is also heavily glaciated, and we expect to see significant changes in both glacial runoff and precipitation patterns, which will greatly impact habitat. The generalized effects of water temperature on the health, growth, and behavior of aquatic life, especially salmon, are well studied and well understood (Steel et al. 2012, Taylor 2008, Webb et. al 2008). What are not well documented are the specific local processes that are occurring on the landscape and within the habitat, especially over a time scale of years and decades.

Purpose

The immediate purpose of this project is to develop a baseline, year-round temperature dataset to document the current condition of sites within the Chilkoot and Chilkat watersheds. Over time we aim to generate a long term dataset to discern thermal trends across both watersheds. In addition, these data contribute to collaborative efforts supported by The Southeast Alaska Freshwater Temperature Monitoring Network to standardize, share, and interpret data across the region, and may also be used to create predictive models to inform management decisions regarding aquatic habitat (Bellmore and Winfree 2019).

This report is intended to provide an update in progress for this project and to help identify areas to expand our monitoring efforts. The data summaries are also useful for identifying sites that exceed ADEC maximum temperature limits for aquatic life and may be experiencing, or at risk of experiencing, habitat degradation for salmon.

Methods

Methods for data collection, management, and summary were adapted from *Stream Temperature Data Collection Standards and Protocol for Alaska* (Mauger et al. 2014). Refer to that manual for a more detailed description of methods, protocols, and equipment.

Site Selection

Sites were selected to cover a broad range of hydrological and physical characteristics, and all sites contained salmon habitat (Table 2). Relative ease of access was an important factor in determining where to deploy data loggers, although some off-road and remote sites were also selected. Instantaneous temperature measurements were taken across the stream to verify that sites were well mixed before deploying loggers, and loggers were placed in locations where they were unlikely to become dewatered due to low stream flow.

Table 1. Takshanuk Watershed Council data logger locations and activity periods.

Site	Latitude	Longitude	Date Deployed	Latest Download Date*
West Lake Creek	59.33748	-135.59080	5/28/2016	9/1/2021
Herman Creek	59.41326	-136.06848	5/29/2016	9/16/2021
Clear Creek	59.38226	-136.06812	5/29/2016	9/16/2021
Little Salmon River	59.38322	-136.07504	9/29/2017	9/16/2021
14-Mile Creek	59.32871	-135.74188	5/8/2018	9/9/2021
Lower Kelsall River	59.54015	-136.10397	9/18/2018	9/16/2021
Lower Chilkoot River	59.33070	-135.55608	11/21/2018	9/1/2021
Mink Creek	59.15719	-135.35882	7/17/2019	9/2/2021
Tahini River	59.64146	-135.99401	9/11/2019	6/9/2021
Jones Point	59.22998	-135.48507	4/15/2020	9/22/2020
Sarah Creek	59.42850	-136.32688	6/5/2020	6/11/2021
JKHC	59.39814	-135.88493	6/3/2021	NA
Chilkat Lake Weir	59.36206	-135.93813	6/8/2021	9/3/2021
Narrows Creek	59.30782	-135.86952	6/8/2021	NA
Upper Chilkoot River	59.37222	-135.63531	8/4/2021	NA
Northeast Creek	59.37538	-135.61290	8/4/2021	NA
Davis Creek	59.36000	-135.61791	8/4/2021	9/1/2021
Assignation Creek	59.56431	-135.99688	8/16/2021	NA
Mule Meadows	59.54371	-136.05695	8/16/2021	NA

*Data loggers are still active at each site. The “Latest Download Date” is the most recent date that data were downloaded and summarized for this report.

TWC data logger locations.




Stacie Evans (TWC Science Director) and Richard Chapell (TWC Board Member) headed back from the Tahini site. Photo by Derek Poinsette.


Apparently there’s more than one way to test the water. Chilkoot Lake. Photo by Derek Poinsette.


Preparing to swap data loggers at the West Lake Creek Site. Photo by Derek Poinsette.

Data Collection

HOBO Water Temp Pro v2 data loggers were checked for ±0.25˚C accuracy against a National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) certified thermometer before each deployment in the field. Data loggers were programed to record temperature every 30 minutes, except for at Herman Creek, Clear Creek, and West Lake Creek where loggers were programmed to record temperature every one hour from May 2016 to November 2018.

Three data loggers were deployed at each site – two in-stream to record water temperature, and one out of stream to record air temperature. Each logger was secured with zip ties in a protective PVC housing. In-stream loggers were attached to heavy chain (to weigh the loggers down) and secured with a loop of cable and clamps to a large rock or sturdy object on the stream bank. Air temperature loggers were hung in a shady location in nearby vegetation.

Data loggers were retrieved and fresh loggers were deployed every spring and fall to the extent possible. Loggers were checked again for accuracy and battery power upon retrieval. Sites were checked opportunistically to ensure that loggers were in place, operating, and free from sedimentation.

Derek Poinsette (TWC Executive Director) and Richard Chapell (ADF&G) deploying data loggers at the Tahini Site. Photo by Jenn Hamblen

Data Management and Summarization

Data were downloaded from each data logger using HOBOware software. Hobo graph outputs were examined for erroneous data due to dewatering or sedimentation before data were exported to comma-separated values (CVS) files for backup and Excel files for summarization. Pre deployment, post retrieval, and erroneous data were removed from Excel spreadsheets. The remaining data (for days containing at least 90% of the daily measurements) were organized in pivot tables and summarized into daily minimum, maximum, and mean water temperatures. Maximum 7-day rolling average (MWAT) and maximum 7-day rolling maximum (MWMT) temperatures were also summarized for summer months at each site. MWMT was calculated by selecting the yearly maximum from weekly averages of maximum daily temperatures and MWAT was calculated by selecting the yearly maximum from weekly averages of average daily temperatures.

Daily temperatures, MWMTs, and MWATs at each site were compared to the ADEC water temperature criteria for aquatic life in freshwater (ADEC 2020). These standards are as follows:

May not exceed 20°C at any time. The following maximum temperatures may not be exceeded, where applicable:

Migration routes	**15°C**
Spawning areas	**13°C**
Rearing areas	**15°C**
Egg and fry incubation	**13°C**

Additional years of data are needed to consider inter-annual variability at some sites, however, daily mean temperatures were compared by year for sites with at least three years of useable data.

Results

Data loggers were deployed at 19 sites, beginning with three sites established in May 2016 (Table 1). Sites were subsequently added, with the latest site established in August 2021 (Table 1). Gaps in the data represent periods when data loggers were lost, or when erroneous data caused by dewatering or sedimentation were removed. See figure captions for details at each site.

Summary graphs with daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures are presented below for each site. Sites that exceeded ADEC water temperature criteria for aquatic life in freshwater are reported in Table 2. Temperatures above 20°C were only recorded at the Chilkat Weir site. The number of days in which temperatures exceeded ADEC standards at sites is reported in Table 3 by year. Summary graphs are also included for yearly maximum 7-day rolling maximum temperatures (MWMTs) and maximum 7-day rolling average temperatures (MWATs). Exceedances with these metrics are included in Table 2. MWMTs and MWATs are useful metrics because they describe thermal conditions that are experienced by fish over an extended period of time (a week), rather than discrete measurements which may only represent short spikes in temperature.

Yearly comparisons of daily mean temperatures are included for sites where at least three complete years of data were available (West Lake Creek, Herman Creek, Clear Creek, 14-Mile Creek, Lower Chilkoot River, Little Salmon River, and Lower Kelsall River). Mean July/August temperatures at these sites are presented in Figure 24 by year.

West Lake Creek

Figure 1. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the West Lake Creek site from 5/28/2016 to 9/1/2021.



*13°C = ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C = ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration routes and rearing areas.

Figure 2. Yearly comparison of daily mean temperatures at the West Lake Creek site.



Herman Creek

Figure 3. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Herman Creek site from 5/28/2016 to 9/16/2021. Data are not included from 12/3/2021 to 5/8/2021; a period when data loggers were affected by sediment.



Figure 4. Yearly comparison of daily mean temperatures at the Herman Creek site.



Clear Creek

Figure 5. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Clear Creek site from 5/29/2016 to 9/16/2021.



Figure 6. Yearly comparison of daily mean temperatures at the Clear Creek site.



14-Mile Creek

Figure 7. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the 14-Mile Creek site from 5/8/2018 to 9/9/2021.



Figure 8. Yearly comparison of daily mean temperatures at the 14-Mile Creek site.



Lower Chilkoot River

Figure 9. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Lower Chilkoot River site from 11/21/2018 to 9/1/2021. Data are not included from 10/28/2020 to 1/19/2021; a period when the cable rusted through and loggers were removed until replacement was possible.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas.

Figure 10. Yearly comparison of daily mean temperatures at the Lower Chilkoot River site.



Little Salmon River

Figure 11. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Little Salmon River site from 9/29/2017 to 9/16/2021. Data are not included from 5/27/2020 to 6/2/2021; a period when one logger washed away and the other logger malfunctioned.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas.

Figure 12. Yearly comparison of daily mean temperatures at the Little Salmon River site.



Lower Kelsall River

Figure 13. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Lower Kelsall River site from 9/18/2018 to 9/12/2020. Data are not included from 10/3/2019 to 5/22/2020 or 9/13/2020 to 6/8/2021; periods when loggers washed away or were dewatered.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas.

Figure 14. Yearly comparison of daily mean temperatures at the Lower Kelsall River site.



Mink Creek

Figure 15. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Mink Creek site from 7/17/2019 to 9/1/2021. Data are not included from 9/19/2019 to 5/20/2020 or 9/18/2020 to 1/21/2021; periods of time in which both data loggers were buried in sediment or lost.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas.

Tahini River

Figure 16. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Tahini River site from 9/11/2019 to 5/22/2020. Data are not included from 5/22/2020 to 6/8/2021; a period when both data loggers washed away.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas.

Jones Point (Chilkat River)

Figure 17. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Jones Point site from 4/15/2020 to 9/22/2020. Data from 2021 are not available because loggers were not checked before freeze up.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas.

Sarah Creek

Figure 18. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Sarah Creek site from 6/6/2020 to 10/20/2020. Data from 2021 are not available because loggers washed away.



Chilkat Lake Weir

Figure 19. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Chilkat Lake Weir site from 6/8/2021 to 9/3/2021.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas. 20°C=ADEC maximum temperature which may not be exceeded at any time.

Davis Creek

Figure 20. Daily minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures at the Davis Creek site from 8/4/2021 to 9/1/2021.



All Sites

Figure 21. Comparison of daily maximum temperatures at all sites.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas. 20°C=ADEC maximum temperature which may not be exceeded at any time.

Figure 22. Summer maximum weekly maximum temperature (MWMT) by site. Data from June 1 to September 30 are included.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas.

Figure 23. Summer maximum weekly average temperature (MWAT) by site.



*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas.

Exceedances

Table 2. Maximum temperature recorded at each site. Sites where temperatures exceeded ADEC water temperature limits for aquatic life in freshwater are noted. Dashes indicate that data are not yet available.

Site	Max Temp°C	Date Max Recorded	Salmon Species Present[ǂ]	Max Temp Exceeded			MWMT Exceeded		MWAT Exceeded	
				13°C	15°C	20°C	13°C	15°C	13°C	15°C
West Lake Creek	12.654	8/7/2019	S							
Herman Creek	7.015	7/11/2016	CH, CO, P, S							
Clear Creek	7.167	7/4/2019	CO, S							
Lower Chilkoot	18.438	7/6/2019	CH, CO, P, S	X	X		X	X	X	
Tahini River	14.098	8/1/2021	CO, K, S	X						
Lower Kelsall River	17.772	7/5/2019	CH, CO, K, P, S	X	X		X	X	X	X
Sarah Creek	10.345	7/30/2020	CO							
Little Salmon River	13.810	8/6/2019	CH, CO, S	X	X		X			
14-Mile Creek	14.361	7/21/2018	CH, CO, P	X			X			
Jones Point	16.677	6/13/20	CH, CO, K, P, S	X	X		X	X		
Mink Creek	15.963	8/7/2019	CH, CO	X	X		X			
Chilkat Weir	20.627	8/1/2021	CH, CO, S	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Davis Creek	8.270	8/12/2021	S							
JKHC	-	-	CH, CO, K, P, S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Upper Chilkoot	-	-	CH, CO, P, S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Northeast Creek	-	-	CO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assignation Creek	-	-	CO, K, P	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mule Meadows	-	-	CO, S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

[ǂ]According to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Anadromous Waters Catalogue (ADF&G 2021). CH=Chum, CO=Coho, K=Chinook, P=Pink, S=Sockeye.

The majority of sites exceeded ADEC's lower temperature limits for the protection of fish. Eight out of thirteen sites with available data exceeded the lower limit of 13°C for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. The MWMT also exceeded 13°C at these sites. Six out of thirteen sites exceeded the middle limit of 15°C for migration routes and rearing areas, and the MWMT exceeded this limit at four of these sites. The MWAT exceeded 13°C at Lower Chilkoot, Lower Kelsall, and the Chilkat Weir, and it also exceeded 15°C at Lower Kelsall, and the Chilkat Weir. The maximum temperature at the Chilkat Weir exceeded 20°C, which may not be exceeded at any time (ADEC 2020).

Maximum stream temperatures varied broadly across sites with a low maximum of 7.015°C at Herman Creek and a high maximum of 20.627°C at the Chilkat Weir. Herman Creek and Clear Creek are largely fed by groundwater, which likely contributes to less temperature fluctuation compared to other sites (Figure 21).

Table 3. Number of days per year in which water temperatures exceeded ADEC limits for aquatic life in freshwater. Dashes indicate that data were not, or have not yet been collected for that year. Data loggers were not deployed before 2018 at sites where exceedances occurred.

Site	2018		2019		2020		2021		
	#Days Exceeded 13˚C*	# Days Exceeded 15˚C*	#Days Exceeded 13˚C*	# Days Exceeded 15˚C*	#Days Exceeded 13˚C*	# Days Exceeded 15˚C*	#Days Exceeded 13˚C*	# Days Exceeded 15˚C*	# Days Exceeded 20˚C*
Lower Chilkoot	-	-	41	6	0	0	1	0	0
Tahini	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0	0
Lower Kelsall	-	-	49	28	7	2	17	2	0
Little Salmon	6	0	13	0	-	-	11	2	0
14-Mile Creek	12	0	8	0	2	0	3	0	0
Jones Point	-	-	-	-	60	22	-	-	-
Mink Creek	-	-	30+ǂ	3+ǂ	17	0	11	0	0
Chilkat Weir	-	-	-	-	-	-	82	68	2

*13°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for spawning areas and egg/fry incubation. 15°C=ADEC maximum temperature limit for migration and rearing areas.

ǂData loggers were deployed July 17, 2019. It is likely that additional exceedances occurred at Mink Creek prior to that date in 2019.

Yearly Comparison

Figure 24. Average stream temperature from 1-July to 31-August by site. Only sites with at least three years of data for July and August are included (West Lake Creek, Herman Creek, Clear Creek, 14-Mile Creek, Lower Chilkoot River, Little Salmon River, and Lower Kelsall).



The majority of the above sites (four out of seven) experienced the warmest average summer temperatures in 2019. Four out of seven sites experienced the coolest average summer temperatures in 2021.

Discussion

This stream temperature monitoring program has initiated a valuable thermal dataset for the Chilkat and Chilkoot watersheds. While no formal analyses were performed for this report, summary statistics indicate that much of the salmon habitat associated with these sites is vulnerable to rising temperatures due to climate change. More descriptive, spatial, and temporal data are necessary to better quantify current effects and predict how changes may occur under likely climate scenarios.

Frequent exceedances of ADEC stream temperature limits under current conditions suggest that some salmon habitat is already experiencing degradation due to warm temperatures in the Chilkat and Chilkoot watersheds (Table 3). This is perhaps most concerning at the Chilkat Weir, Lower Chilkoot River, Lower Kelsall River, and Jones Point (Chilkat River); sites where maximum temperatures were the highest, exceedances the most frequent, and most or all species of Pacific salmon are present (Table 2 and 3). On the other hand, these watersheds appear to be faring better than other regions, such as southcentral Alaska, where data loggers in the Deshka River recorded a high of 27.6°C on July 7, 2019. Systems with abundant groundwater inputs, like the Chilkat watershed, could provide important refugia for Pacific salmon as temperatures continue to rise.

Air temperature data are available for each site, but have not yet been summarized for this project. These data will be used in future analyses to determine the sensitivity of stream temperature to local air temperature. This metric is necessary to predict how streams may respond to likely climate scenarios and to determine which sites are at the highest risk. For example, sites that are already warm and also have a high sensitivity to air temperature will be the most vulnerable to impacts due to climate change. Conversely, colder streams with low sensitivity to air temperature are more likely to provide thermal refugia for salmon over time (Mauger 2013).

In addition, characteristic data for each site can be used to determine variables that influence thermal response and to what degree. Predictor variables help managers focus their response to climate change on specific actions with a high degree of resolution within the watershed. Manageable, small-scale strategies, like restoring riparian vegetation to shade a stream, or improving fish passage to less vulnerable habitat, will contribute to the overall resiliency of salmon populations (Mauger et al. 2017). A targeted approach will similarly allow managers to prioritize limited financial resources and increase efficiency of conservation actions (Isaak and Rieman 2013).

While further analyses will be helpful, the preliminary assessment of this dataset can be used to identify current areas of concern. For example, Chilkat chinook salmon were designated a stock of conservation concern by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in 2017 due to frequent low returns since 2012 (ADF&G 2017). Although the causes for this decline are not well documented, suitable freshwater habitat will be necessary for the conservation of this species into the future. We therefore recommend that ADEC temperature exceedances at the Lower Kelsall River and Jones Point sites especially be considered when making habitat management decisions in these waterbodies.

Furthermore, regular exceedances of ADEC standards at the Chilkat Weir during 2021 (a relatively cool year) should be examined further. The weir constricts passage to direct fish through a sonar counter when it is open, and blocks fish passage when it is closed at night. We therefore plan to deploy more data loggers in the surrounding area to better characterize thermal conditions at the site. TWC is currently discussing investigation and mitigation strategies at the weir with ADF&G biologists.

With the help of our partners, TWC will continue to collect temperature and characteristic data at all of our sites, as well as establish multiple new sites within the Chilkat and Chilkoot watersheds. In 2022, we plan to also collect thermal imagery of the Chilkat watershed to identify thermal refugia, as well as areas of concern, with a high degree of resolution. In collaboration with The Southeast Alaska Freshwater Temperature Monitoring Network, we aim to generate a dataset that helps us understand thermal patterns and trends locally as well as regionally in order to help managers make effective decisions in response to climate change.

Literature Cited

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC). 2020. 18 AAC 70, Water Quality Standards. Online: https://dec.alaska.gov/water/water-quality/standards/

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (AGF&G). 2012. Subsistence Harvest and Data Reports. Online: http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/sb/CSIS/index.cfm?ADFG=harvInfo.harvestCommSelComm

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (AGF&G). 2017. State of Alaska Special Status Species: Fish Stock of Concern. Online: http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=specialstatus.akfishstocks

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (AGF&G). 2020. Anadromous Waters Catalog: Interactive Mapper. Online: https://www.adfg.alaska.gov/sf/SARR/AWC/index.cfm?ADFG=main.interactive

Bellmore R., M. Winfree. Southeast Alaska Freshwater Monitoring Network Implementation Plan. 2019. Online: https://www.alaskawatershedcoalition.org/southeast-alaska-stream-temperature-monitoring-network/.

Isaak D.J. and B.E. Rieman. 2013. Stream isotherm shifts from climate change and implications for distributions of ectothermic organisms. *Global Change Biol.* 19: 742–751.

Kovach, R. P., J.E. Joyce, J.D. Echave, M.S. Lindberg, and D.A. Tallmon. (2013) Earlier Migration Timing, Decreasing Phenotypic Variation, and Biocomplexity in Multiple Salmonid Species, ed S M Carlson, *PLoS ONE*, 8 1–10 Online: http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053807.

Mauger, S. 2013. Stream Temperature Monitoring for Cook Inlet Salmon Streams 2008-2012. Final report for the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Online: https://inletkeeper.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/Cook-Inlet-Stream-Temp-Network-Synthesis-Report.pdf

Mauger, S., R. Shaftel, E.J. Trammell, M. Geist, and D. Bogan. 2014. Stream temperature data collection standards and protocol for Alaska: minimum standards to generate data useful for regional-scale analyses. Cook Inletkeeper, Homer, AK and Alaska Natural Heritage Program, UAA, Anchorage, AK. 53 pp.

Mauger, S., R. Shaftel, J.C. Leppi, and D.J. Rinella. 2017. Summer temperature regimes in southcentral Alaska streams: watershed drivers of variation and potential implications for Pacific salmon. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.,* 74 702–15 Online: http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/cjfas-2016-0076.

Schoen,E.R., M.S. Wipfli, E.J. Trammell, D.J. Rinella, A.J. Floyd, J. Grunblatt, M.D. McCarthy, B.E. Meyer, J. M. Morton, J.E. Powell, A. Prakash, M.N. Reimer, S.L. Stuefer, H. Toniolo, B.M. Wells, and F.D. Witmer. 2017. Future of pacific salmon in the face of environmental change: lessons from one of the World's remaining productive salmon regions. Fisheries, 42 (10) (2017). pp. 538-553

Shanley, C. S., S. Pyare, M.I. Goldstein, P.B. Alaback, D.M. Albert, C.M. Beier, T.J. Brinkman, R.T. Edwards, E. Hood, A. MacKinnon, M.V. McPhee, T.M. Patterson, L.H. Suring, D.A. Tallmon, and M.S. Wipfli. 2015. Climatic change implications in the northern coastal temperate rainforest of North America. Climatic Change. 130: 155. Online: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-015-1355-9.

Steel, E. A., A. Tillotson, D.A. Larsen, A.H. Fullerton, K.P. Denton, and B.R. Beckman. 2012. Beyond the mean: The role of variability in predicting ecological effects of stream temperature on salmon, *Ecosphere*, 3 1–11 Online: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1890/ES12-00255.1.

Taylor, S. G. 2008. Climate warming causes phenological shift in Pink Salmon, Oncorhynchus gorbuscha, behavior at Auke Creek, Alaska: Climate warming and pink salmon behavior, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 14 229–35 Online: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01494.x.

Webb, B. W., D.M. Hannah, R.D. Moore, L.E. Brown, and F. Nobilis. 2008. Recent advances in stream and river temperature research, *Hydrol. Process.*, 22 902–18 Online: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/hyp.6994.

Chilkoot Lake. Photo by Derek Poinsette.